

## Short benign ileocolonic anastomotic strictures - management with bi-flanged metal stents: Six case reports and review of literature

Panagiotis Kasapidis, Georgios Mavrogenis, Dimitrios Mandrekas, Fateh Bazerbachi

**Specialty type:** Gastroenterology and hepatology

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Peer-review report's scientific quality classification**

Grade A (Excellent): 0  
Grade B (Very good): B  
Grade C (Good): C  
Grade D (Fair): D  
Grade E (Poor): 0

**P-Reviewer:** Cao X, China; Virarkar M, United States

**Received:** March 7, 2022

**Peer-review started:** March 7, 2022

**First decision:** April 8, 2022

**Revised:** April 19, 2022

**Accepted:** August 25, 2022

**Article in press:** August 25, 2022

**Published online:** October 6, 2022



**Panagiotis Kasapidis**, Department of Gastroenterology and Endoscopy Unit, Central Clinic of Athens, Athens 10680, Greece

**Georgios Mavrogenis**, Department of Gastroenterology, Mediterraneo Hospital, Glyfada, Athens 16685, Greece

**Dimitrios Mandrekas**, Department of Surgery, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens 11527, Greece

**Dimitrios Mandrekas**, Department of Surgery, Attendant of Central Clinic of Athens, Athens 10680, Greece

**Fateh Bazerbachi**, CentraCare, Interventional Endoscopy Program, St Cloud Hospital, St Cloud, MN 56301, United States

**Corresponding author:** Panagiotis Kasapidis, AGAF, FEBGH, MD, PhD, Chief Doctor, Director, Instructor, Department of Gastroenterology and Endoscopy Unit, Central Clinic of Athens, 31 Asklipiou str, Kolonaki, Athens 10680, Greece. [kasapendo@yahoo.gr](mailto:kasapendo@yahoo.gr)

### Abstract

#### BACKGROUND

The endoscopic management of benign short post-anastomotic ileocolonic stricture (PAICS) that is refractory to primary and secondary treatment modalities remains challenging. The lumen-apposing metal stent (LAMS) is a novel device recently developed for therapeutic gastrointestinal endoscopy. LAMSs have demonstrated significantly better results with regard to stent migration than fully covered self-expandable metal stents (FCSEMSs).

#### CASE SUMMARY

This article presents six cases of symptomatic PAICS successfully treated with a LAMS and a review of the relevant literature. We report a life-saving technique not previously documented and the use of technology to improve patient outcomes. The six patients (median age, 75 years) suffered from vomiting, constipation and recurrent abdominal pain, with symptoms starting 23-25 wk post-surgery. The median stricture length was 1.83 cm. All six patients underwent successful and uneventful bi-flanged metal stent (BFMS)-LAMS placement for benign PAICS. All patients remained asymptomatic during the three months of stent indwelling and up to a median of 7 mo after stent removal. According to the literature, the application of LAMS for PAICS is associated with a < 10% risk of

migration and a < 5% risk of bleeding. Conversely, FCSEMS has a high migration rate (15%-50%).

### CONCLUSION

The evolving role of interventional endoscopy and the availability of LAMSs provide patients with minimally invasive treatment options, allowing them to avoid more invasive surgical interventions. The BFMS (NAGI stent) is longer and larger than the prototype AXIOS-LAMS, which should be considered in the management of short ileocolonic post-anastomotic strictures longer than 10 mm and shorter than 30 mm.

**Key Words:** Bi-flanged metal stent; Lumen-apposing metal stent; Anastomotic ileocolonic stricture; Self-expanding metal stent; Endoscopic innovation; Case report

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core Tip:** The lumen-apposing metal stent has demonstrated significantly better results with regard to stent migration than fully covered self-expandable metal stents. We present six cases of post-anastomotic ileocolonic strictures successfully treated with a bi-flanged metal stent (NAGI stent) and a review of the relevant literature. The long and broad flanges of the bi-flanged metal stent may reduce the migration rate and improve patient tolerance and thus may represent a suitable alternative to traditional endoscopic options, with better long-term results in the management of luminal gastrointestinal strictures longer than 10 mm and shorter than 30 mm.

**Citation:** Kasapidis P, Mavrogenis G, Mandrekas D, Bazerbachi F. Short benign ileocolonic anastomotic strictures - management with bi-flanged metal stents: Six case reports and review of literature. *World J Clin Cases* 2022; 10(28): 10162-10171

**URL:** <https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v10/i28/10162.htm>

**DOI:** <https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v10.i28.10162>

## INTRODUCTION

Colonic anastomotic stricture occurs in 3%-28% of patients following colorectal surgery[1-3]. The management of benign gastrointestinal (GI) stricture includes incisional therapy, intralesional steroid injection, endoscopic balloon dilatation, and the deployment of self-expandable metal stents (SEMSs) and biodegradable stents (BDSs)[4,5]. However, these endoscopic management techniques are not always successful despite repeated interventions[5]. In particular, refractory post-anastomotic ileocolonic stricture (PAICS) may require multiple dilatations[6]. For stubborn cases, an SEMS may be used. However, SEMSs can migrate, with migration rates exceeding 15%-50%[3,7]. The underlying risk of perforation (12%-20%) increases with the deployment of pre- or post-balloon dilatations[8,9]. Fully covered SEMSs (FCSEMSs) also demonstrate a high rate of migration (40%-60%), with an overall incidence of 20%[6,8-10].


The lumen-apposing metal stent (LAMS) is a novel device recently developed for therapeutic GI endoscopy. The LAMS was originally designed for pancreatic fluid collection drainage but is currently being used for many off-label indications[2,5,7,8]. The bi-flanged metal stent (BFMS), another type of LAMS, is a saddle-shaped metal (nitinol) stent that achieves lumen apposition due to its bilateral anchoring flanges, thus decreasing the risk of stent migration[5-7,11]. Moreover, because of its larger intraluminal diameter, it can accomplish more efficient drainage, and its silicone coating prevents tissue ingrowth and thus facilitates easy removal[7,12]. The BFMS (Nagi-LAMS stent) has flared ends and a bi-flanged design, with a longer saddle (up to 3 cm) compared to the 1-cm saddle of the AXIOS-LAMS stent (Figure 1). Successful management of multiple types of GI stenoses (esophageal, gastric, and colonic) with the LAMS has been reported in several studies[2,3,5,13]. However, data on the role of the BFMS (Nagi-LAMS stent) in these scenarios are limited.

This study aims to evaluate the efficacy, feasibility, indications and safety of BFMS placement as a new endoscopic management approach for symptomatic short benign PAICS. This report describes a life-saving technique not previously documented and provides a review of the related literature.

### Literature search

These case reports adhere to the SCARE criteria[14]. The review follows the PRISMA guidelines[15]. All original studies and case reports concerning symptomatic benign GI strictures treated endoscopically with a LAMS, BFMS or FCSEMS were included. We performed an electronic literature search in





DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v10.i28.10162 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

**Figure 1** Three types of lumen-apposing metal stents 10 mm in diameter. The NAGI lumen-apposing metal stent (LAMS) is a bi-flanged metal stent with flared ends to yield the bi-flanged design, with a longer saddle (up to 3 cm) than the AXIOS-LAMS stent (1 cm).

PubMed, Cochrane Library, and Embase databases for articles published between March 2010 and November 2021.

## CASE PRESENTATION

### Chief complaints

**Cases 1-5:** These patients suffered from constipation and abdominal pain.

**Case 6:** This patient suffered from recurrent symptomatic small-bowel obstruction with nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, and flatulence.

### History of present illness

**Cases 1-5:** These patients developed symptoms starting at 23-25 wk post-surgery.

**Case 6:** This patient required hospitalization at the 28<sup>th</sup> week postoperatively.

**Cases 1-6:** All included patients underwent ileocecal resection and one-stage, end-to-end anastomosis without diverging ileostomy for adenocarcinoma in the right colon. The average age of the patients was 75 years (range: 68-82). None of the six patients received adjuvant chemotherapy as the tumor staging was < T3N0M0. The median time between surgery and the diagnosis of stenosis was 206.33 d (range: 167-257). The demographic characteristics, disease etiology, complaints, treatments administered and other characteristics of the luminal anastomotic benign strictures in patients who underwent BFMS placement are summarized in [Table 1](#).

### History of past illness

**Cases 1 and 3:** These patients had a disease-free medical history.

**Cases 2, 4 and 5:** These patients had hypertension.

**Case 6:** This patient had obesity, osteoporosis, cataract, hypertension, and type 2 diabetes mellitus.

### Personal and family history

**Cases 1-6:** None of the patients had a family history of GI tumors.

### Physical examination

**Cases 1-5:** These patients had tenderness in the right upper quadrant of the abdomen. Their temperature, blood pressure and pulse rate were normal.

**Table 1** Demographic characteristics, disease etiology, treatment characteristics, and other characteristics of luminal anastomotic benign stricture in patients who underwent bi-flanged metal stent (NAGI lumen-apposing metal stent) stent placement

Variable	Patient 1	Patient 2	Patient 3	Patient 4	Patient 5	Patient 6	Median
Sex	M	M	F	M	F	F	
Age (yr)	82	79	70	76	68	75	75
Surgical procedure (end-to-end anastomosis)	ICA	ICA	ICA	ICA	ICA	ICA	
Stricture length (cm)	1.5	2.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.83
Duration of procedure (min)	19	23	26	12	14	15	18.16
Technical success (%)	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Clinical success (short-term/long-term)	Yes/yes	Yes/yes	Yes/yes	Yes/yes	Yes/yes	Yes/yes	
BFMS details (mm)	L = 30, D = 16	L = 30, D = 16	L = 30, D = 16	L = 30, D = 16	L = 30, D = 16	L = 30, D = 16	
Stent indwelling (d)	85	89	94	90	91	92	90.16
Complications	None	Mild abdominal pain lasted 2 d	None	None	None	Mild discomfort lasted 2 d	
Follow-up (d)	241	237	190	188	218	214	214.6
Colonoscopy 4-5 wk after stent deployment	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Time span between surgery and the diagnosis of stenosis (d)	167	193	204	257	222	195	206.33

Technical success was defined as successful placement of the bi-flanged metal stent across the stricture. Short-term clinical success was defined as symptom resolution with the indwelling stent (3 mo). Long-term clinical success was defined as symptom resolution at 7 mo after stent removal. BFMS: Bi-flanged metal stent; ICA: Ileocolonic anastomosis; L: Length; D: Diameter; F: Female; M: Male.

**Case 6:** This patient had persistent periumbilical pain and was pale but alert at admission, with blood pressure of 90/60 mmHg and a heart rate of 98 bpm.

#### Laboratory examinations

**Cases 1-6:** These patients had normal serum carcinoembryonic antigen (reference range: 0-5.0 ng/mL) and hemoglobin levels, with an average of 12.0 g/dL among men and 10.2 g/dL among women. Normal hemoglobin levels are 13.0-17.5 g/dL for men and 11.6-16 g/dL for women.

**Case 6:** This hospitalized patient had a white blood cell count of 12000 cells/ $\mu$ L, with the normal range being 4500-11000 cells/ $\mu$ L ( $4.5 \times 10^9/L$ ).

#### Imaging examinations

**Cases 1-5:** Computed tomography (CT) of the abdomen revealed anastomotic stricture.

**Case 6:** CT revealed partial small-bowel obstruction at the level of the ileocolonic anastomosis.

**Cases 1-6:** Colonoscopies demonstrated tight benign anastomotic stenoses that could not be transversed with a pediatric colonoscope. Preoperative evaluation by CT scan and intra-procedural assessment were performed to assess the length and degree of all strictures. Regarding the necessity of magnetic resonance imaging for the evaluation of stricture length, colonoscopy with injected contrast material and CT scan can accurately depict the anastomotic stricture[9,10].

## FINAL DIAGNOSIS

All patients were found to have high-grade strictures (residual lumen, diameter < 7 mm) with a median length of 1.83 cm (range 1.5-2.0). Malignancy was ruled out in all patients *via* biopsies and histological examination.



## TREATMENT

Midazolam was used for conscious sedation, or propofol was used if more profound sedation was needed. Fluoroscopic and direct endoscopic guidance, the latter with a flexible colonoscope, EC-590WM (Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan), with a length of 1330 mm and a broad working channel 3.8 mm in diameter was employed to reach the stricture site in the transverse colon in all procedures. We delivered the BFMS *via* colonoscopy as the usable length of the NAGI-LAMS stent was longer than the working length of the colonoscope (1800 mm *vs* 1330 mm). The risk of intraprocedural perforation and stent migration was reduced by avoiding balloon dilatation either before or after BFMS deployment[8,9]. Access across the stricture was achieved using a 0.035 inch, 450-cm long guidewire (Jagwire, Boston Scientific, Natick, MA, United States). The deployed BFMSs were NAGI-LAMS stents (Taewoong Medical, Gyeonggi-do, South Korea) with a length of 30 mm, lumen diameter of 16 mm, 10 Fr delivery catheter, and flange diameter of 20 mm (Figure 2). Subsequently, over the guidewire and through-the-scope, a stent was inserted, and contrast injection revealed a stricture in the anastomotic area (Figure 3), which was stented with a BFMS under fluoroscopic guidance (Figure 4). The distal (downstream) flange of the BFMS was deployed under fluoroscopic guidance, and the proximal (upstream) end of the stent was deployed under direct endoscopic visualization. Clinical success was defined as the alleviation of GI obstructive symptoms, such as nausea, vomiting, constipation, abdominal distention and/or pain and occlusive ileus. Major adverse events were regarded as tissue perforation and stent migration. Minor adverse events were regarded as transient fever, vomiting, nausea, abdominal pain and self-limited hemorrhage. Any different endoscopic technique or urgent surgery was regarded as procedural failure.

Informed consent forms and authorization of the use of personal information forms were signed by all the patients before the procedure for the off-label use of BFMSs. Furthermore, the six patients provided written informed consent for publication. Ethics approval is not required for case reports at our institution.

## OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

**Cases 1-6:** The endoscopic BFMS placement procedure, including scope insertion to reach the stricture site (Figure 5, right), required a median duration of 18.16 min (range: 12-26). There was constant stool and gas flow after BFMS deployment. All patients underwent colonoscopy 4-5 wk after stent deployment. Three months later, after a median of 90 d (range: 85-94), the stents (Figure 5, left) were removed without any adverse events. No patients required additional interventions at a median follow-up of 214.6 d (range: 188-241). The patients completed at least two follow-up visits during the subsequent seven months. The first and the second visits took place at the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> months, respectively.

**Cases 1, and 3-5:** No immediate adverse events occurred.


**Case 2:** The patient complained of mild post-procedural abdominal pain that lasted two days and he responded to analgesics (paracetamol, 1000 mg/24 h, for two days).

**Case 6:** The patient complained of mild post-procedural discomfort that lasted three days and she responded to analgesics (paracetamol, 1000 mg/24 h, for two days).

## DISCUSSION

GI luminal stents are an appealing endoscopic option for managing selected colonic disorders, particularly post-anastomotic stricture. Strictures longer than 2 cm require multiple balloon dilatations, with limited long-term potency, perhaps due to a lack of stricture remodeling and scaffolding[8,15,16]. A solid proposition for managing benign anastomotic colon strictures are metal stents (SEMS, FCSEMS, LAMS-BFMS). This recommendation is based on the fact that constant radial force can be applied to the stricture for an extended time compared with balloon dilatation, thus inducing remodeling in these recalcitrant fibrotic strictures[10,13,17-19]. The major adverse event of SEMS and FCSEMS placement is stent migration, which has been reported to occur in 15%-50% of patients after a mean period of one month[4,8,14,20]. In addition, a high rate (40%-60%) of FCSEMS migration has been noted postoperatively in patients with colorectal diseases[6,8]. In a review of 8 studies involving 192 patients that evaluated LAMS for benign GI strictures, LAMS demonstrated significantly better results with regard to stent migration and post-procedural pain than FCSEMS and BDS stents[5,17].

The endoscopic placement of a LAMS and BFMS with fluoroscopic guidance is generally successful, with only a minority of cases requiring endoscopic ultrasound guidance because the lumen is fully obscured[7,12,17]. Early LAMS placement has been proposed as a viable option for long-term



DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v10.i28.10162 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

Figure 2 The bi-flanged metal stent (NAGI stent).




DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v10.i28.10162 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

Figure 3 Post-anastomotic benign ileocolonic stricture.

symptomatic relief in patients with short ileocolonic or colocolonic anastomotic strictures[3,6,18]. Patients with benign refractory stenosis who suffer from post-surgical ileocolonic anastomotic strictures may benefit from a LAMS if symptoms remain after two dilatations[2-4,18,21]. A LAMS has the potential to delay or ultimately prevent the need for consecutive dilations or surgical intervention[3,18]. Furthermore, the underlying risk of perforation (12%-20%) and migration (> 20%) increases with the deployment of pre- or post-balloon dilatations[8,9,18]. Due to the reasons mentioned above, a NAGI-LAMS stent was chosen, and non-pre-balloon dilatations were performed in these six patients.

In the literature, the rate of successful LAMS deployment for ileocolonic anastomosis is reported to be 89%-98%[3,7]. Overall stent migration has been reported in only 7%-9% of patients[3,18]. Proximal stent migration occurred during the first 3 wk in 6% of patients[1,3,4,7,13]. One patient (4.5%) had self-limiting bleeding, and this complication was associated with LAMS migration one week after placement [5,7]. No perforation or mortality has been attributed to LAMS placement[1,3,4,13]. Our results describing successful NAGI-LAMS placement for the management of PAICS are in line with the two most extensive studies of LAMS in the literature, showing its utility in the treatment of benign short PAICS[3,16]. Our clinical success rate in treatment-naïve patients was 100% (6/6). All patients in this study tolerated NAGI-LAMS deployment and indwelling for the entire therapy duration without severe post-procedural pain or stent migration. In the literature, LAMS (Nagi, Axios) intolerance is reported to be < 5% post-deployment[22-26]. In addition, LAMS has demonstrated less stent migration (9% *vs* 40%)





DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v10.i28.10162 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

Figure 4 The bi-flanged metal stent (NAGI stent) was deployed through the stricture.



DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v10.i28.10162 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

Figure 5 Endoscopic management of ileocolonic anastomotic stricture with the bi-flanged metal stent. Indwelling period of 35 d after insertion of the bi-flanged metal stent (NAGI) (arrows, left). Post-anastomotic stricture (arrows, right).

and post-procedural pain than FCSEMS and BDS[24,27-29]. The overall rates of successful LAMS (Nagi, Axios) deployment (98%), migration (7%-9%), and bleeding (4.5%) without perforation in the treatment of luminal colonic strictures are all encouraging and indicate that LAMS should be considered in the treatment algorithm of benign, short ileocolonic post-surgical stenosis in a multidisciplinary setting[3,6,13,22,23]. Evidence of the clinical utility of NAGI-LAMS for ileocolonic or colocolonic anastomotic strictures has been presented in a few case reports[25,28]. Only 20 cases have been reported in the literature exploring the use of LAMS (Axios, Nagi) for the endoscopic management of colonic strictures [4].

The maximum efficacy and minimum adverse events regarding the optimal duration of LAMS placement remain undetermined. Many authors suggest limiting the indwelling period of LAMS (Nagi, Axios) to three months[5,6,12,18,22]. The novel design of these stents permits a longer indwelling time, which induces better clinical results and yields low recurrence rates[3,4,18,19]. In the reviewed literature, the mean stent indwelling time was 3.56 mo for the NAGI-AXIOS-LAMS stent[4,5,11,13]. For these reasons, we decided to remove the NAGI-LAMS stents after a period of 3 mo, and our patients were followed clinically for a median of 7 mo without the need for additional interventions due to major or minor adverse events. The optimal period of stent indwelling remains to be evaluated in future studies.

One of the innovative aspects of our study is that we evaluated the efficacy, feasibility and safety of the NAGI-LAMS stent placement for the endoscopic management of symptomatic, short benign PAICs. We report a life-saving technique that has not been previously documented, the off-label use of NAGI-LAMS stents and the application of technology to improve patient outcomes. The saddle length of this NAGI stent is longer than that of the AXIOS stent (30 mm *vs* 10 mm), and it may therefore be better for longer (> 1 cm) luminal GI strictures[5,10,12]. Another difference between the NAGI-LAMS and the AXIOS-LAMS is the stent diameter (diameter = 10, 12, 14, 16 mm *vs* 10, 15 mm)[10,12]. Stent diameter and length selection are crucial for the clinical success of the procedure (Figure 1). Given these parameters (length and diameter) of LAMS, the NAGI-LAMS stent would be effective for strictures < 30 mm in size, and the AXIOS-LAMS stent would be effective for strictures < 10 mm in size[5,11,13]. The anchoring effect of the NAGI stent stems from its bi-flanged design rather than lumen apposition[12]. Importantly, the NAGI stent delivery catheter can be introduced *via* colonoscopy, while the AXIOS stent

delivery catheter, which is shorter, can only be delivered either *via* a therapeutic forward-viewing gastroscope or echoendoscope[4,5,12,17].

Our study focuses only on the endoscopic management of short (median length 1.8 cm) benign ileocolonic anastomotic strictures using a bi-flanged metal stent, not on the choice between stent placement and another treatment (*e.g.*, re-surgery). In the literature, there is no concrete evidence of treatment preference based on long-term results. According to the literature, the average time of surgery was delayed by endoscopic management for 6.45 years. Therefore, endoscopic management (metal stent, balloon dilatation, *etc.*) prolongs the need for surgery for a significant period of time. Most of these benign anastomotic strictures are simple narrowings that are shorter than 2 cm, which can be successfully treated by endoscopic alternatives. Only 28% of these patients will require surgical correction, which could be technically difficult and carry the possibility of requiring colostomy. For these reasons, a part of the re-surgery strategy could include a bi-flanged metal stent, which could represent an alternative therapeutic option for this specific type of luminal stricture[30,31].

The small sample size in our study and the absence of comparative groups are significant limitations that should be acknowledged. Although our results are concordant with the current literature, there are some limitations related to the three-month indwelling time and the short duration (7 mo) of follow-up time. More prospective multicenter trials are required to develop guidelines for the utility of NAGI-LAMS in the endoscopic management of benign ileocolonic stricture. Further data are needed to validate the long-term safety and efficacy of BFMS (NAGI-LAMS) in treating luminal GI stenosis.

---

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the long and broad flanges of the BFMS (NAGI-LAMS) may reduce the stent migration rate and improve patient tolerance. BFMS placement is a minimally invasive endoscopic procedure that may be beneficial as a bridge to surgery or definitive therapy when managing patients with refractory short benign post-anastomotic ileocolonic strictures. The BFMS could represent an important alternative to traditional endoscopic options and achieve better long-term results for the management of luminal GI strictures longer than 10 mm and shorter than 30 mm.

---

## FOOTNOTES

**Author contributions:** Kasapidis P, Mavrogenis G, Mandrekas D and Bazerbachi F contributed to the conception and design of the study and the collection, analysis and interpretation of the data; Kasapidis P and Bazerbachi F supervised the study and drafted the manuscript; Kasapidis P and Bazerbachi F reviewed the drafted manuscript; Kasapidis P approved and submitted the final manuscript.

**Informed consent statement:** Written informed consent was obtained from the six patients for the publication of these case reports and accompanying images. Copies of the written consent forms are available for review upon request. Ethics approval is not required for case reports at our institution.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

**CARE Checklist (2016) statement:** The authors have read the CARE Checklist (2016), and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the CARE Checklist (2016).

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

**Country/Territory of origin:** Greece

**ORCID number:** Panagiotis Kasapidis 0000-0001-8470-6198; Georgios Mavrogenis 0000-0003-1107-3144; Dimitrios Mandrekas 0000-0002-7986-9213; Fateh Bazerbachi 0000-0003-4599-2042.

**S-Editor:** Yan JP

**L-Editor:** Webster JR

**P-Editor:** Yan JP



## REFERENCES

- 1 **Majumder S**, Buttar NS, Gostout C, Levy MJ, Martin J, Petersen B, Topazian M, Wong Kee Song LM, Abu Dayyeh BK. Lumen-apposing covered self-expanding metal stent for management of benign gastrointestinal strictures. *Endosc Int Open* 2016; **4**: E96-E101 [PMID: 26793793 DOI: 10.1055/s-0041-108195]
- 2 **Tyberg A**, Desai A, Zerbo S, Nieto J, Kahaleh M. Endoscopic management of an anastomotic stricture using a lumen-apposing metal stent. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2016; **83**: 464-465 [PMID: 26344885 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2015.08.049]
- 3 **Irani S**, Jalaj S, Ross A, Larsen M, Grimm IS, Baron TH. Use of a lumen-apposing metal stent to treat GI strictures (with videos). *Gastrointest Endosc* 2017; **85**: 1285-1289 [PMID: 27633158 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2016.08.028]
- 4 **Larson B**, Adler DG. Lumen-apposing metal stents for gastrointestinal luminal strictures: current use and future directions. *Ann Gastroenterol* 2019; **32**: 141-146 [PMID: 30837786 DOI: 10.20524/aog.2018.0337]
- 5 **Sharma P**, McCarty TR, Chhoda A, Costantino A, Loeser C, Muniraj T, Ryou M, Thompson CC. Alternative uses of lumen apposing metal stents. *World J Gastroenterol* 2020; **26**: 2715-2728 [PMID: 32550749 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v26.i21.2715]
- 6 **Hallac A**, Srikureja W, Liu E, Dhupal P, Thatte A, Puri N. Economical effect of lumen apposing metal stents for treating benign foregut strictures. *World J Gastrointest Endosc* 2018; **10**: 294-300 [PMID: 30364856 DOI: 10.4253/wjge.v10.i10.294]
- 7 **Jain D**, Patel U, Ali S, Sharma A, Shah M, Singhal S. Efficacy and safety of lumen-apposing metal stent for benign gastrointestinal stricture. *Ann Gastroenterol* 2018; **31**: 425-438 [PMID: 29991887 DOI: 10.20524/aog.2018.0272]
- 8 **Cereatti F**, Fiocca F, Dumont JL, Ceci V, Vergeau BM, Tuszynski T, Meduri B, Donatelli G. Fully covered self-expandable metal stent in the treatment of postsurgical colorectal diseases: outcome in 29 patients. *Therap Adv Gastroenterol* 2016; **9**: 180-188 [PMID: 26929780 DOI: 10.1177/1756283X15610052]
- 9 **Tonolini M**, Bareggi E, Salerno R. Endoscopic stenting of malignant, benign and iatrogenic colorectal disorders: a primer for radiologists. *Insights Imaging* 2019; **10**: 80 [PMID: 31456127 DOI: 10.1186/s13244-019-0763-1]
- 10 **Malgras B**, Lo Dico R, Pautrat K, Dohan A, Boudiaf M, Pocard M, Soyer P. Gastrointestinal stenting: Current status and imaging features. *Diagn Interv Imaging* 2015; **96**: 593-606 [PMID: 25953525 DOI: 10.1016/j.diii.2015.04.001]
- 11 **Bazerbachi F**, Visrodia KH, Mavrogenis G, Wong Kee Song LM, Buttar NS. Extrabiliary applications of fully covered antimigration biliary metal stents. *VideoGIE* 2020; **5**: 437-441 [PMID: 32954110 DOI: 10.1016/j.vgie.2020.05.015]
- 12 **Saumoy M**, Kahaleh M. Superiority of metal stents for pancreatic walled-off necrosis: bigger is better! *Gastrointest Endosc* 2017; **85**: 1253-1254 [PMID: 28522015 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2017.03.008]
- 13 **Santos-Fernandez J**, Paiji C, Shakhathreh M, Becerro-Gonzalez I, Sanchez-Ocana R, Yeaton P, Samarasena J, Perez-Miranda M. Lumen-apposing metal stents for benign gastrointestinal tract strictures: An international multicenter experience. *World J Gastrointest Endosc* 2017; **9**: 571-578 [PMID: 29290912 DOI: 10.4253/wjge.v9.i12.571]
- 14 **Agha RA**, Borrelli MR, Farwana R, Koshy K, Fowler AJ, Orgill DP, SCARE Group. The SCARE 2018 statement: Updating consensus Surgical CAse REport (SCARE) guidelines. *Int J Surg* 2018; **60**: 132-136 [PMID: 30342279 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijssu.2018.10.028]
- 15 **Liberati A**, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gotzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, Clarke M, Devereaux PJ, Kleijnen J, Moher D. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. *BMJ* 2009; **339**: b2700 [PMID: 19622552 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.b2700]
- 16 **Caruso A**, Conigliaro R, Manta R, Manno M, Bertani H, Barbera C, Mirante VG, Frazzoni M. Fully covered self-expanding metal stents for refractory anastomotic colorectal strictures. *Surg Endosc* 2015; **29**: 1175-1178 [PMID: 25149637 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-014-3785-2]
- 17 **Bazerbachi F**, Heffley JD, Abu Dayyeh BK, Nieto J, Vargas EJ, Sawas T, Zaghlool R, Buttar NS, Topazian MD, Wong Kee Song LM, Levy M, Keilin S, Cai Q, Willingham FF. Safety and efficacy of coaxial lumen-apposing metal stents in the management of refractory gastrointestinal luminal strictures: a multicenter study. *Endosc Int Open* 2017; **5**: E861-E867 [PMID: 28924591 DOI: 10.1055/s-0043-114665]
- 18 **Yang D**, Nieto JM, Siddiqui A, Riff BP, DiMaio CJ, Nagula S, Ismail AM, Ngamreungphong S, Khashab MA, Wagh MS, Tzimas D, Buscaglia JM, Strand DS, Wang AY, Chauhan SS, Forsmark CE, Draganov PV. Lumen-apposing covered self-expandable metal stents for short benign gastrointestinal strictures: a multicenter study. *Endoscopy* 2017; **49**: 327-333 [PMID: 28114688 DOI: 10.1055/s-0042-122779]
- 19 **Jessamy K**, Ozden N, Simon HM, Kobrossi S, Ubahgaraji E. Self-Expanding Metal Stenting in the Management of a Benign Colonic Stricture. *Case Rep Gastroenterol* 2016; **10**: 127-131 [PMID: 27403114 DOI: 10.1159/000445185]
- 20 **Ngamreungphong S**, Sharaiha RZ, Sethi A, Siddiqui AA, DiMaio CJ, Gonzalez S, Im J, Rogart JN, Jagroop S, Widmer J, Hasan RA, Laique S, Gonda T, Poneris J, Desai A, Tyberg A, Kumbhari V, El Zein M, Abdelgelil A, Besharati S, Hemaiez R, Okolo PI, Singh V, Kalloo AN, Kahaleh M, Khashab MA. Endoscopic suturing for the prevention of stent migration in benign upper gastrointestinal conditions: a comparative multicenter study. *Endoscopy* 2016; **48**: 802-808 [PMID: 27356125 DOI: 10.1055/s-0042-108567]
- 21 **Martínez Alcalá F**, Martínez-Alcalá García FR, Sánchez-Yague A, Martínez-Alcalá García A, Ciria Avila JA, Perez Pozo JM. Treatment of a benign, anastomotic refractory rectal stricture with an AXIOS stent. *Endoscopy* 2015; **47** Suppl 1 UCTN: E413-E414 [PMID: 26397845 DOI: 10.1055/s-0034-1392676]
- 22 **Saumoy M**, Yarber C, Kahaleh M. Novel Uses of Lumen-Apposing Metal Stents. *Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am* 2018; **28**: 197-205 [PMID: 29519332 DOI: 10.1016/j.giec.2017.11.007]
- 23 **Mussetto A**, Fugazza A, Fuccio L, Triossi O, Repici A, Anderloni A. Current uses and outcomes of lumen-apposing metal stents. *Ann Gastroenterol* 2018; **31**: 535-540 [PMID: 30174389 DOI: 10.20524/aog.2018.0287]
- 24 **Mohan BP**, Chandan S, Garg R, Mohamed S, Shakhathreh M, Dugyala S, Mashiana HS, Ponnada S, Asokkumar R, Adler DG. Lumen-apposing Metal Stents, Fully Covered Self-expanding Metal Stents, and Biodegradable Stents in the Management of Benign of GI Strictures: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2019; **53**: 560-573 [PMID: 31149932 DOI: 10.1097/MCG.0000000000001228]

- 25 **Adler DG.** Colonic strictures: dilation and stents. *Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am* 2015; **25**: 359-371 [PMID: [25839690](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.giec.2014.11.001](#)]
- 26 **Tan S, Zhong C, Huang S, Luo X, Xu J, Fu X, Peng Y, Tang X.** Clinical outcomes of lumen-apposing metal stent in the management of benign gastrointestinal strictures: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2019; **54**: 811-821 [PMID: [31290352](#) DOI: [10.1080/00365521.2019.1638447](#)]
- 27 **Jain D, Chhoda A, Sharma A, Singhal S.** De-novo Gastrointestinal Anastomosis with Lumen Apposing Metal Stent. *Clin Endosc* 2018; **51**: 439-449 [PMID: [30257546](#) DOI: [10.5946/ce.2018.077](#)]
- 28 **Reddy R, Patel U, Tarnasky P, Kedia P.** Lumen-apposing stent placement for management of a short benign colonic anastomotic stricture. *VideoGIE* 2018; **3**: 99-101 [PMID: [29916480](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.vgie.2017.12.001](#)]
- 29 **Nasser A, Cullen M, Barawi M.** Lumen-apposing metal stent use to maintain a surgical anastomosis. *VideoGIE* 2020; **5**: 494-495 [PMID: [33103008](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.vgie.2020.05.027](#)]
- 30 **Liu Z, Wang G, Yang M, Chen Y, Miao D, Muhammad S, Wang X.** Ileocolonic anastomosis after right hemicolectomy for colon cancer: functional end-to-end or end-to-side? *World J Surg Oncol* 2014; **12**: 306 [PMID: [25287418](#) DOI: [10.1186/1477-7819-12-306](#)]
- 31 **Lian L, Stocchi L, Remzi FH, Shen B.** Comparison of Endoscopic Dilation vs Surgery for Anastomotic Stricture in Patients With Crohn's Disease Following Ileocolonic Resection. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2017; **15**: 1226-1231 [PMID: [27816758](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.cgh.2016.10.030](#)]